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1. **Introduction**

How is family defined (socially)? Does the state have the right to regulate how people live in families and relationships? If so, which areas of private lives can or should be regulated? These questions have recently gained traction in the debate on public policies. This dataset comprises rules and regulations to help researchers understand how this policy sector evolved throughout time. This assists in understanding which elements impact the implementation or removal of rules based on particular beliefs about whether activities are socially acceptable in public and private.

Despite the rising global visibility and acceptance of people breaking with binary gender stereotypes, sexual preferences, and the traditional ‘nuclear family’ constellations, there is still a gap concerning the integration of people from the LGBTQ+ community into everyday society. This dataset therefore fill this gap and contains enactment dates of regulations for the LGBTQ+ community. While there are activism groups and other community efforts to collect data, there are no datasets available in this format for event history modeling in an academic context. The data was collected in 2021 and early 2022. The sources linked below contain the specific laws and regulations coded here, as well as updates after February 2022. The dataset contains indicators on the following issues: Adoption laws, constitutional and workplace anti-discrimination protection, civil contracts and marriage laws, the criminalization and decriminalization of same-sex acts, the restriction of gender expression, and conversion therapy bans. The following text contains explanations regarding the coding of sometimes ambiguous legal text.

For questions or comments, please contact: seitzer@uni-bremen.de

2. **Coding scheme**

If a law or regulation has yet to be enacted for the entire legislative territory but has been introduced for distinct cities or regions, the first law for any area is coded as an introduction. For example, civil unions and domestic partnerships for same-sex couples in Canada have been enacted on the provincial level in Nova Scotia and Manitoba in 2001 but have yet to be enacted on the federal level by the Canadian Parliament. Therefore 2001 is coded here. If, however, a regulation has been enacted for the entire country, this regulation often overrules municipal, state, or provincial introduction, even if the regional regulation was introduced earlier. In Argentina, civil unions were introduced for the entire country in 2015, however, in 2003 it was introduced in the city of Buenos Aires as well as in the Rio Negro Province. In this case, 2015 was coded, as it covers the entire nation. If a law or regulation was never introduced, the indicator is coded with 9999, regardless of actual practice.

3. **The distinction between marriage and civil unions**

In many countries, civil unions or registered partnerships offer similar advantages as traditional marriage but are not quite the same. Marriages between same-sex couples are coded as marriages if they contain the same regulations as marriages between different-sex couples. Civil unions, registered partnerships, or reciprocal beneficiary relationships often have limitations on regulations such as joint adoptions. They do, however, often contain benefits such as joint tax filing, benefits regarding employment and insurance, and decision-making power in emergencies. In many countries, civil unions are available for same-sex couples equally as to different-sex couples. In most countries in which civil unions are available for different-sex couples, these unions are the first regulation to be introduced for same-sex couples before the more traditional marriages are introduced. Some countries, therefore,
still allow both types of legal unions for both same-sex and different-sex couples. For example, in the Netherlands, registered partnerships for same-sex couples were introduced in 1998, a regulation that allowed a marriage-type union, but differs on adoption and rights regarding children. In 2001, a bill was passed to allow registered marriages between same-sex couples with the same rights as different-sex couples in the Netherlands as the first country to legalize marriage for the LGBTQ+ community. In some countries, the right to civil unions was abolished in favor of the broader marriage laws. For example, Germany allowed civil unions between 2001 and 2017, which were the only option for a legally recognized union between same-sex partners but have since then been replaced by the right to legal marriage for same-sex partners (eingetragene Ehe vs. eingetragene Partnerschaft).

4. The distinction between adoption and second-parent adoption

Adoption regulations for same-sex couples are still very diverse across the globe. Many countries do not allow for the joint adoption of non-biological children for same-sex couples. Some countries allow second-parent adoption, where one partner can adopt the other partner’s biological child. These instances are coded as adoption in this indicator but are appended with a comment to indicate the limitation of second-parent adoption as opposed to regular adoption of non-biological children of both partners.

5. The distinction between constitutional protection and broad protection laws

The ILGA State-Sponsored Homophobia Report (Mendos et al. 2020) has made a distinction between regulations on protection and non-discrimination. This distinction also applies to this dataset. This allows differentiating between non-discrimination laws adopted into a countries’ constitution and non-discrimination or protection regulations adopted at a later point. The latter is coded in the indicator “broad protection laws” and often contains regulations with small limitations, for example only applying to certain instances. Constitutional protection laws, in contrast, are written into the country’s fundamental legal regulations, and, while being a newer phenomenon and only applying to only recently funded states, these are more stringent regulations that apply to the entirety of a country’s legal framework and forbids discrimination due to sexual orientation specifically. Broad protection laws are coded if a country explicitly states the banning of discrimination due to sexual orientation. These regulations and bills were mostly added after the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights and refer to sexual orientation as a specific instance of protection as opposed to broader, unclear “all persons” regulation as stated in the UDHR (UN, 1948). Workplace anti-discrimination laws regulate workplace behavior such as firing and hiring as well as promotion practices.

6. The distinction between legalization, decriminalization, and criminalization

In some instances, countries have specifically criminalized same-sex acts. In some countries, those laws were later abandoned, but no further mention of punishment or legalization was made. Therefore, the country is coded as “decriminalized”, as the status is generally unclear with the exception that there is no longer a cause for prosecution through the law. If a country specifically allows same-sex relations, these instances are coded as “legalization.” In cases where countries still actively prosecute the LGBTQ+ community, this is coded as the “criminalization” indicator. In some instances, there are, and never were,
any mention of same-sex relations. In these instances, the respective criminalization and decriminalization indicators are coded with 9999. Due to the often unclear wording of the legal text, these indicators could potentially contradict each other.

7. **Freedom of Expression**

The respective indicator contains information regarding countries where it is explicitly forbidden to propagate any type of LGBTQ+-related information or publicly dress as the non-assigned gender. In several countries, non-explicit legal barriers are still active with ambiguous wording commonly interpreted as barriers that forbid the display of interests in one another or for transgender expression.

**Citation**

Please cite the respective version of the dataset used (citation provided by WeSIS), this codebook, or:

**Sources**

The information collected in this dataset was collected and validated from the sources listed below and collected in early 2022. As some of the sources are conflicting, even citing different legal text, the earliest and most explicit text was used.

- https://ilga.org/state-sponsored-homophobia-report
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/LGBT_rights_by_country_or_territory
- http://internap.hrw.org/features/features/lgbt_laws/
- https://www.humandignitytrust.org/lgbt-the-law/map-of-criminalisation/
- https://www.equaldex.com/
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator name</th>
<th>Subcategory I</th>
<th>Subcategory II</th>
<th>Technical name</th>
<th>Short Description</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Missing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adoption by same-sex couples allowed</td>
<td>Sexuality, Relationships, and Gender</td>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Cult_srg_fam_adoption</td>
<td>Is adoption by same-sex couples allowed? A distinction to second-parent adoption in comment indicator. No distinction to single or transgender persons made.</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment on adoption</td>
<td>Sexuality, Relationships, and Gender</td>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Cult_srg_fam_adoption_c</td>
<td>Comment regarding adoption laws, second-parent adoptions, and restrictions for LGBTQ+ persons.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidiscrimination regulation in the workplace for LGBTQ+ persons</td>
<td>Sexuality, Relationships, and Gender</td>
<td>Protection</td>
<td>Cult_srg_prot_antidiscr_wkplc</td>
<td>Regulations forbidding discrimination in the workplace due to gender expression or sexual preferences</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad protection laws for LGBTQ+ persons</td>
<td>Sexuality, Relationships, and Gender</td>
<td>Protection</td>
<td>Cult_srg_prot_broad</td>
<td>General discrimination protection regulations for LGBTQ+ persons not mentioned in the constitution and not sector-specific</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitutional discrimination protection for LGBTQ+ persons</td>
<td>Sexuality, Relationships, and Gender</td>
<td>Protection</td>
<td>Cult_srg_prot_const</td>
<td>Discrimination protection regulations for LGBTQ+ persons mentioned in the constitution</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion therapy banned on the federal level</td>
<td>Sexuality, Relationships, and Gender</td>
<td>Protection</td>
<td>Cult_srg_prot_conv_therapy</td>
<td>Regulations to ban conversion therapy for gay, lesbian, bisexual, and transgender persons [only federal cases coded]</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminalization of same sex acts</td>
<td>Sexuality, Relationships, and Gender</td>
<td>Restrictions</td>
<td>Cult_srg_restr_crim</td>
<td>Criminalization of same-sex acts listed in the Penal Code or otherwise listed as a punishable offense</td>
<td>1828</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment on criminalization of LGBTQ+ persons</td>
<td>Sexuality, Relationships, and Gender</td>
<td>Restrictions</td>
<td>Cult_srg_restr_crim_c</td>
<td>Additional information regarding the introduction of laws criminalizing same-sex acts.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decriminalization of same sex acts</td>
<td>Sexuality, Relationships, and Gender</td>
<td>Restrictions</td>
<td>Cult_srg_restr_decrim</td>
<td>The abolishment and explicit decriminalization of same-sex acts.</td>
<td>1791</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal of civil contract laws for same-sex couples</td>
<td>Sexuality, Relationships, and Gender</td>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Cult_srg_fam_civil_union_rm</td>
<td>The removal of previously introduced regulations allowing civil contracts as opposed to marriages between same-sex couples.</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restriction of gender expression regulations for LGBTQ+ persons</td>
<td>Sexuality, Relationships, and Gender</td>
<td>Restrictions</td>
<td>Cult_srg_restr_gndr_exp</td>
<td>Laws restricting the expression of gender, covering cross-dressing and general gender expression.</td>
<td>1927</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same-sex civil contract</td>
<td>Sexuality, Relationships, and Gender</td>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Cult_srg_fam_civil_union</td>
<td>The introduction of civil contracts in contrast to marriages for same-sex couples</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same-sex marriage</td>
<td>Sexuality, Relationships, and Gender</td>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Cult_srg_fam_marriage</td>
<td>The introduction of marriages with all benefits equal to different-sex couples.</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legalization of same-sex relationships</td>
<td>Sexuality, Relationships, and Gender</td>
<td>Family</td>
<td>Cult_srg_fam_legal</td>
<td>Legalization of same-sex acts and/or relationships.</td>
<td>1791</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>